

The 2026 State of 3PL Performance

Anonymised SLA data from 137 Shopify brands · May 2025 – Apr 2026

Published by Forthmatch (forthmatch.io). Free to cite with link back to <https://forthmatch.io/reports/state-of-3pl-performance-2026>.

94.2% · Median OTIF (on-time-in-full)

Below the 98% target written into 71% of contracts in the panel.

112 hrs · Worst-decile dock-to-stock

More than 4.6x the 24-hour benchmark; receiving is the silent inventory killer.

11% · Brands that ever recovered a 3PL chargeback

Eight in nine SLA misses are written off because no one is tracking them.

1. Methodology

Forthmatch tracks fulfilment SLAs for 137 Shopify brands across 41 third-party logistics providers. Data is collected directly from the Shopify Orders API and the brand's WMS via EDI/REST hand-off, normalised, and anonymised before aggregation.

Window: May 2025 – Apr 2026. Brands span \$250k – \$180M GMV; warehouses span the US, EU, UK and APAC. SLA targets are taken from each brand's executed master services agreement (MSA) at the time of the measurement window.

Definitions follow CSCMP standards (OTIF, perfect order rate, IRA, dock-to-stock). Outliers were not winsorised — every reported median is the raw panel median.

Coverage by warehouse type. 23 dedicated single-tenant facilities, 14 multi-client distribution centres and 4 hybrid fulfilment-network operators are represented. SKU mix skews apparel (38%), beauty (21%), home (17%), supplements (12%) and consumer electronics (12%).

What changed vs. 2025. The 2025 panel covered 96 brands and 31 providers. The 2026 panel adds 41 brands (+43%) and 10 new providers — including three Amazon Multi-Channel Fulfilment tenants and two TikTok Shop-only fulfilment partners that did not exist in last year's data.

2. The Five SLAs That Matter Most in 2026

Across 137 contracts, five SLAs separate the 3PLs that earn renewals from the ones that get fired:

1. **OTIF** — the share of orders shipped complete, on time, to spec.
2. **Pick & pack accuracy** — units mis-picked per 100 lines.
3. **Inventory record accuracy (IRA)** — system count vs cycle count.
4. **Dock-to-stock** — time from inbound receipt to sellable.
5. **Damage rate** — units written off per 1,000 shipped.

SLA	Contracted target	Panel median	Gap
On-time-in-full (OTIF)	98.0%	94.2%	-3.8 pts
Pick & pack accuracy	99.7%	99.1%	-0.6 pts
Inventory record accuracy	99.0%	97.4%	-1.6 pts
Dock-to-stock (median, hrs)	≤ 48	62	+14 hrs
Damage rate	≤ 0.10%	0.18%	+0.08 pts
Return processing time (hrs)	≤ 72	94	+22 hrs

Read the table this way: the right-most column is the gap between what the brand contracted for and what the 3PL actually delivered, panel-wide. **Every** SLA missed its target on the median — and the median is the kind brand. The bottom quartile of providers misses OTIF by 8.4 points and breaches dock-to-stock by 64 hours.

Case study: a \$14M apparel brand recovers \$94K in chargebacks






Anonymised brand, US East 3PL, 18-month contract. The brand instrumented the five SLAs above, ran a monthly 60-minute review with the 3PL ops manager, and attached a chargeback spreadsheet to every meeting.

Within two quarters the 3PL absorbed \$94,200 in SLA credits (7.1% of fulfilment spend), OTIF moved from 92.4% to 96.8%, and dock-to-stock collapsed from 88 hours to 41. The contract was renewed at +9% pricing — both sides agreed the relationship was healthier with money on the line.

The lesson. Brands that recover chargebacks aren't harder on their 3PL — they're more legible. The 3PL knows what is being measured, knows the dollar consequence, and can actually staff against the metric.

3. The 3PL Performance Gap by GMV Band





Larger brands get materially better service — even from the same warehouse. Smaller brands subsidise the SLA wins of larger brands because they have less leverage during a missed shipment.

OTIF by GMV band (target 98%)			
Segment	OTIF %		Avg. dock-to-stock (hrs)
Under \$1M GMV	91.4	 91.4	71
\$1M – \$5M	93.8	 93.8	88
\$5M – \$20M	95.1	 95.1	96
\$20M – \$50M	96.3	 96.3	102
Over \$50M	97.0	 97.0	124

Brands under \$1M GMV miss OTIF by 6.6 points on average. Once a brand crosses \$20M, dock-to-stock improves by ~22 hours and OTIF by ~5 points.

4. Regional 3PL Performance

EU and UK warehouses run hotter — labour pressure shows up in dock-to-stock first, OTIF second.

OTIF by region (target 98%)			
Segment	OTIF %		Dock-to-stock (hrs)
US East	95.1	 95.1	54
US West	94.4	 94.4	78
EU	93.0	 93.0	81
UK	92.6	 92.6	87

UK warehouses have the longest dock-to-stock and the lowest OTIF in the panel. Brands shipping > 30% of UK volume should write a regional SLA carve-out into their next contract.

5. Returns SLA Collapse During Peak

Across the panel, return processing time more than doubles between Q3 and Q4. The median jumps from 71 hours in October to 162 hours in late November — and never fully recovers until February.

Median return processing time (hours, panel-wide)			
Segment	Hours		
Sep 2025	68	■■■■■■■ 68	
Oct 2025	71	■■■■■■■ 71	
Nov 2025	142	■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■ 142	
Dec 2025	162	■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■ 162	
Jan 2026	121	■■■■■■■■■■■■■ 121	
Feb 2026	88	■■■■■■■■■ 88	

Almost no contract in the panel includes a peak-aware return SLA. Brands that wrote a tiered SLA (≤ 96 hrs in peak vs ≤ 72 hrs off-peak) saw 38% fewer customer-service tickets in December.

6. The Accountability Deficit

Of 137 brands surveyed:

22% review SLA performance monthly with their 3PL.

34% do an ad-hoc review only when something breaks.

44% have never reviewed contracted SLAs against actual data.

11% have ever recovered a chargeback for a missed SLA.

The accountability deficit — not the warehouse — is the binding constraint on Shopify fulfilment quality in 2026.

Case study: a \$3.8M beauty brand cuts dock-to-stock by 71%

Sub-\$5M GMV brand, US West 3PL. Receiving was the hidden constraint: every inbound pallet sat on the dock for ~96 hours before being put away, which translated into ~3.4 days of lost sellable inventory and a stockout rate of 4.1% on hero SKUs.

The fix was process, not pricing. The brand pre-ASN'd every inbound (UPC, lot, expiry), agreed put-away SLA tiers (≤ 24 h for hero SKUs, ≤ 48 h otherwise), and added a \$250 storage waiver per missed receipt. Within 90 days dock-to-stock fell to 28 hours and stockouts dropped to 0.9%.

The lesson. Sub-\$5M brands have less leverage on pricing but full leverage on receiving discipline. Pre-ASN + tiered put-away SLAs are the highest-ROI changes a small brand can negotiate.

8. FAQ

Q. Are 3PLs really this bad in 2026?

No — the median 3PL is competent. The problem is that contracts overpromise and reviews under-measure, so the competent ones get no credit and the laggards get no pressure.

Q. We're < \$1M GMV. Is this all out of reach?

No. The five SLAs above are the same five — only the credit structure changes. Smaller brands should ask for storage waivers and fee credits rather than hard cash penalties.

Q. What single change has the biggest payback?

A monthly SLA review with a chargeback spreadsheet attached. Brands that do this recover ~6% of fulfillment spend in year one.

Q. How do we measure OTIF if our 3PL doesn't report it?

Pull every order from Shopify with promised-ship-date and actual-ship-date, then join carrier scan-events. Forthmatch publishes a free SQL recipe at forthmatch.io/blog/measuring-otif-from-shopify.

Q. Can we share this report?

Yes — please. CC press@forthmatch.io if you cite it so we can track coverage and fix any data caveats fast.

7. Recommendations

For operators:

1. Write the five SLAs above into your next MSA with explicit credit penalties.
2. Tier returns SLAs across peak and off-peak.
3. Run a monthly SLA review with chargeback dollars attached.
4. Demand real-time webhook latency (median < 60s) on order and inventory updates.

For 3PL providers:

1. Publish your last-12-months panel performance against contracted SLAs by GMV band. Brands that buy on transparency churn 4x less.
2. Offer a peak-aware returns SLA before the brand asks.
3. Make webhook latency a top-of-page metric, not a footnote.

Companion asset. A free 3PL RFP template (PDF + DOCX) with the five SLAs above pre-written into a scoring rubric is available at forthmatch.io/tools/3pl-rfp-template.

Forthmatch · forthmatch.io · Published 2026-05-03. Cite freely with a link to the landing page. Press: press@forthmatch.io.